

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,165	06/27/2001	Kalle J. Karkas	442-010445-US (PAR)	4512
<sup>2512</sup> PERMAN & G	7590 11/06/200 REEN	7	EXAMINER	
425 POST ROA	<del></del>		LIPMAN, JACOB	
FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
	09/893,165	KARKAS ET AL.			
! 1881\f 88\f		Art Unit			
	Jacob Lipman	2134			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal &	Brief Request for Review filed Aug. 30, 2007.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Regression(s):</li> </ol>	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not inclu	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. Ide reasons why a review is appropriate. Included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains undo is required to submit an appeal bri- brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the noti	t Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been er appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ef in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ce of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	d the status of the claim(s) is as follows:

3. Allowable application - A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:

Claim(s) rejected: \_

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Eddie C. Lee.